UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,678	06/16/2005	Hee-Seop Kim	8054-125 (LW8088PC/US)	8599
	7590 04/06/200 SSOCIATES, LLC	9	EXAMINER	
130 WOODBU	RY ROAD		ARMAND, MARC ANTHONY	
WOODBURY, NY 11797			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/539,678	KIM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MARC ARMAND	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 De	ecember 2008					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-21 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S C & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 G.G.G. § 115(a)	-(a) or (i).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
			Stane			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attacker with						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)				
Notice of References Cited (P10-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	atent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [] Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/539,678 Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- Species 1, claims 1-3, appears to read on the first embodiment;
- Species 2, claims 4-10 appears to read on the second embodiment.

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently there is no apparent generic claim.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to there mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and /or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even tough the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely, Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

2. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by CFR 1.141.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARC ARMAND whose telephone number is (571)272-9751. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,678 Page 4

Art Unit: 2814

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MARC ARMAND/ Examiner, Art Unit 2814 /Wai-Sing Louie/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2814